IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 29TH MAY, 2007 / 8TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 17519 of 2006(I)

PETITIONER:

E.R.HARIPRIYA MENON, (MINOR) AGED 16,
REPRESENTED BY HER MOTHER E.S.RAJALAKSHMI,
`PRASANTHI', Y.M.A.ROAD, THEKKUMBHAGOM,
THRIPUNITHURA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, KERALA.

BY ADV. SRI.N.RADHAKRISHNAN
SMT.RADHIKA P.GOPINATH

RESPONDENTS:

1. UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT, SASTHRI BHAVAN, NEW DELHI.

2. SECRETARY,
CENTRAL BOARD OF SECONDARY EDUCATION,
SIKSHA KENDRA-2, COMMUNITY CENTRE,
PREET VIHAR, NEW DELHI-110 092.

3. REGIONAL OFFICER,
CENTRAL BOARD OF SECONDARY EDUCATION,
REGIONAL OFFICE, PLOT NO.2, (OLD NO.1630A)
J BLOCK, 16TH MAIN ROAD, ANNA NAGAR WEST,
CHENNAI-600 040.

4. PRINCIPAL,
CHINMAYA VIDYALAYA, THRIPUNITHURA,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, KERALA.

BY ADV. SRI.DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN
SRI.ESM.KABEER
SRI.GOVINDH.K.BHARATHAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 29/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX (WP(C) NO.17519 OF 2006)

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

Ext.P1: Copy of the Mark list.

Ext.P2: Copy of the list of candidates send by the 4th respondent for All India Secondary School Examination.

Ext.P3: Copy of the letter addressed to the 2nd respondent dtd.2.6.2006.

Ext.P4: Copy of the application dated nil.

-True Copy-

P.A.to Judge.

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

WP(C) NO. 17519 OF 2006 I

Dated this the 29th day of May, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel appearing for the C.B.S.E. has produced answer papers of the petitioner in a sealed cover. The same was opened by this Court and the petitioner was permitted to see the same to ascertain whether the answer papers are that of the petitioner herself. Her parents are present in the court who examined the answer sheets and confirmed that the same are that of the petitioner herself. I had admitted this writ petition only because of the contention of the petitioner that on a perusal of Ext.P2, there is every possibility of answer papers being changed. Now that the petitioner herself confirms that the answer papers are that of the petitioner, there is no further scope for interference in this writ petition since as per the rules of the All India Secondary School Examination conducted by the C.B.S.E., there is no provision for revaluation of answer sheets. At least, the petitioner has not been able to point out any such rules enabling the petitioner to get her answer sheets revalued.

2. The counsel for the C.B.S.E. categorically asserts that there is no provision for revaluation of answer sheets of C.B.S.E.. In the above circumstances, there is no scope for further interfering in this writ petition and accordingly, the same is dismissed.

S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/

S.SIRI JAGAN, J

W.P.(C) NO. OF 2001

J U D G M E N T

DATED:13-02-2007